

2014 JAN 16 PM 2:58 ✓

SANDRA K MARKHAM, CLERK
BY: A KNICKERBOCKER

1 FAVOUR & WILHELMSSEN, PLLC
2 Post Office Box 1391
3 Prescott, AZ 86302
4 928-445-2444 – Telephone
5 928-771-0450 – Facsimile
6 David K. Wilhelmsen 007112
7 Lance B. Payette 007556

8 Attorneys for Property Owner James Varilek

9 SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
10 YAVAPAI COUNTY

11 JOHN B. CUNDIFF and BARBARA C.
12 CUNDIFF, husband and wife; ELIZABETH
13 NASH, a married woman dealing with her
14 separate properly; KENNETH PAGE and
15 KATHRYN PAGE, as Trustee of the
16 Kenneth Page and Catherine Page Trust,

17 Plaintiffs,

18 v.

19 DONALD COX and CATHERINE COX,
20 husband and wife, et al., et ux.,

21 Defendants.

Case No. CV 2003-0399

Division 1

(Assigned to Hon. David L. Mackey)

**CORRECTED AND RESTATED
JAMES VARILEK'S RESPONSE
TO MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL
RE: GRANT OF PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

22 Aligned Plaintiff property owner James Varilek ("Varilek") files this response to
23 the *Motion for New Trial* ("MNT") filed by Defendants ("the Coxes") on January 3, 2014.

24 **Introduction**

25 This case, concerning the Coxes' business use of their parcel of land in Coyote
26 Springs Ranch ("CSR"), is now *ten years* old. The Coxes *lost* on the central issue in the
27 case – *i.e.*, their violation of paragraph 2 of the Declaration of Restrictions of CSR ("the
28 Declaration") – in the Court of Appeals almost *seven years* ago. Ever since, the parties
have been litigating the Coxes' affirmative defense that the Declaration had been
abandoned before the original complaint was filed in 2004 and that paragraph 2 (together
with the rest of the Declaration) is unenforceable on that basis. After careful consideration

1 of the record and the well-established Arizona case law, Judge Kenton Jones recognized
2 the fundamental flaw in the Coxes' abandonment defense and granted Plaintiffs' *Motion*
3 *for Summary Judgment* (in which Varilek had joined) on June 14, 2013.

4 The Coxes did not move for reconsideration of Judge Jones' ruling. Now, *seven*
5 *months later* (and some *four months* after Judge Jones was appointed to the Court of
6 Appeals), they purport to move for a "new trial" on Judge Jones' ruling. Their *MNT* is
7 procedurally defective and is nothing more than a 25-page regurgitation of their
8 unsuccessful response to the *Motion for Summary Judgment*. Varilek sees absolutely
9 nothing of substance that is new.

10 Faced with finally having to relocate their business after ten years, the Coxes are
11 understandably desperate and hoping a new judge will be more receptive to the same
12 arguments that Judge Jones rejected. What they are trying to do should be obvious and
13 offensive to the Court. Because the *MNT* is simply a regurgitation of the Coxes' previous
14 filings, Varilek will not try the Court's patience by repeating his own previous filings and
15 will keep this response as short and to the point as possible.

16 **The *MNT* is procedurally defective**

17 The *MNT* is either extremely late or considerably premature. ARCP 59(c) provides
18 that a motion for new trial shall be filed "not later than 15 days after entry of the
19 judgment." Here, no judgment has been entered. A form of judgment was lodged months
20 ago, but the Coxes objected to it and it has been pending ever since. The *MNT* purports to
21 be based on Judge Jones' ruling of June 14, 2013, which was obviously not a final
22 judgment and was, moreover, made seven full months ago. The Arizona case law
23 indicates that a motion for new trial may be filed prematurely – *see, e.g., Farmers Ins. Co.*
24 *of Ariz. v. Vagnozzi*, 132 Ariz. 219, 644 P.2d 1305 (1982) – but the weird procedural
25 posture of the *MNT* should be a clue to the Court as to how much thought and care went
26 into it.

27 Of greater significance, the *MNT* does not set forth the grounds on which it is based,
28 as is required by ARCP 59(c)(1). ARCP 59(a) lists the eight grounds on which a motion

1 there could be 1,000 trivial violations encompassing every parcel in CSR without altering
2 its fundamental character as a rural, residential subdivision.

3 Far from turning a blind or skeptical eye toward the Coxes' evidence, as the Coxes
4 suggest he did, Judge Jones actually *carefully reviewed* the Coxes' evidence (as Plaintiffs
5 and Varilek had urged him to do) and found it insufficient to create a genuine issue of
6 material fact as to whether CSR remains a rural, residential subdivision. (*See Ruling of 6-*
7 *14-13 at 4-8.*) His well-reasoned analysis bears repeating:

8 But even more fundamentally, the issue before the Court
9 is whether this matter should proceed to trial based solely upon
10 defenses of waiver and/or abandonment of the CC&Rs as a
11 result of the restrictions imposed upon the use of the properties
12 having been so thoroughly disregarded as to result in such a
13 change in the area as to destroy the effectiveness of the
14 restrictions and defeat the purposes for which they were
15 imposed. *The issue is whether the property remains rural and
16 whether the property remains residential, or whether the
17 property is no longer rural or no longer residential.*

18 As addressed above, the Court finds no real debate that
19 the property remains rural. Further, an assessment of whether
20 the CC&Rs might have been violated as a result of commercial
21 businesses being run from residential properties, something
22 clearly in violation of the CC&Rs, does not obviate the fact
23 that the properties, themselves, remain residential. To the
24 Court's understanding, the only portion Coyote' Springs that
25 has been utterly given over to a non-residential use is that of
26 Defendants Cox; that being their use of their 19 acres for
27 purely commercial purposes.

28 Those items addressed by [the Coxes' investigator
Sheila] Cahill and upon which Defendants rely, while
reflecting violations of the CC&Rs to some degree; even
possibly to a large degree, *do not illustrate, in any fashion, a
complete abandonment and thorough disregard of the intention
of the Declarants that the property remain rural and
residential.* Admittedly, there is probably no denying that
Coyote Springs is a rural, residential environment where a
number of property owners both reside and operate businesses
out of their homes as [original CSR developer, the late Robert]
Conlin indicated was appropriate. Admittedly, there may be

1 circumstances where contractors are using the property where
2 they live to stack materials and that may well be a violation of
3 the CC&Rs. *However, nothing presented to the Court supports
4 a finding that Coyote Springs has become anything other than,
5 a rural, residential subdivision.*

(Ruling of 6-14-13 at 8, emphasis added.)

6 Far from being a careless piece of work, as the Coxes' suggest it is, Judge Jones'
7 ruling is a veritable *model* of the way an abandonment defense should be analyzed.
8 Notwithstanding an abundance of Arizona case law, the Coxes persist in their refusal to
9 acknowledge that this is the correct analytical approach.

10 Varilek will now briefly address the key arguments in the *MNT*, citing the Court to
11 the relevant pages of his previous filings rather than repeating them here.

12 **Judge Jones did not improperly focus on paragraph 2 of the**
13 **Declaration or the Conlin affidavit**

14 The "initial matter" raised by the Coxes' at page 2 of the *MNT* is that Judge Jones
15 supposedly "improperly tethered the dispute surrounding enforceability/abandonment of
16 the subject Declaration entirely to Paragraph 2 and to the undated Affidavit of [original
17 CSR developer, the late] Robert Conlin, which focuses on Paragraph 2 only." The Coxes
18 state that the record "is replete with evidence of hundreds of violations of Paragraphs 3, 5,
19 7, 8, 9, 11, 12 and 16, as well as Paragraph 2, of the CC&Rs."

20 It is obvious on the face of Judge Jones' ruling that the Coxes' statements are not
21 true. Paragraph 2 of the Declaration, prohibiting commercial uses of the parcels in CSR, is
22 the one the Court of Appeals determined the Coxes had violated. It is also the one for
23 which pervasive violations would be most likely to lead to a finding of abandonment – *i.e.*,
24 if CSR now looked like it should be renamed Prescott Valley Industrial Park, one might be
25 hard-pressed to argue that it remains a rural, residential subdivision. Judge Jones thus
26 understandably focused on the other commercial uses alleged by the Coxes and found the
27 evidence either incompetent or insufficient to create a genuine issue of material fact.

28 However, Judge Jones also took due notice of the Coxes' evidence of other
violations such as "bottled gas tanks not below ground and trash receptacles visible; in one

1 instance a couch sitting outside, and in another some amount of construction materials
2 located on properties where construction company owners reside. There are apparently
3 boarded up properties and what appear to be dilapidated and/or trashed mobiles and
4 properties.” (*Ruling of 6-14-13* at 6-7.) These trivial matters are typical of the “hundreds
5 of violations” the Coxes claim to have found; what Judge Jones recognized, in addition to
6 finding much of the Coxes’ evidence speculative or otherwise incompetent, is that above-
7 ground propane tanks, old couches, trashed mobile homes and similar trivialities are
8 virtually irrelevant to whether CSR remains a rural, residential subdivision. Indeed, it
9 might be said that most of them are *typical* of a rural, residential subdivision. The same is
10 true of most of the Coxes’ alleged business uses, which include such things as a contractor
11 parking his truck on his property, a surveyor apparently working from his home, and an
12 investment company using a CSR address in its filings with the Corporation Commission.
13 Such things are typical of a rural, residential subdivision, largely invisible to the residents,
14 almost certainly not violations of the Declaration at all, and incapable of altering the
15 fundamental nature of CSR.

16 *The fundamental error that the Coxes have made, and continue to make in the MNT,*
17 *is their failure (or stubborn refusal) to acknowledge that an abandonment of the*
18 *Declaration cannot be proved simply by counting violations without regard to the nature or*
19 *triviality of the violations. An abandonment is proved by showing that the violations have*
20 *altered the fundamental character of the development as a rural, residential subdivision.*
21 *The Arizona case law is absolutely clear about this. See pages 6-8 of James Varilek’s*
22 *Consolidated Reply to the Responses to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary Judgment filed by*
23 *Defendants Cox and Veres (“Varilek’s Reply”).*

24 When the Coxes’ state at page 2 of the *MNT* that the video of CSR filed with
25 Plaintiff John Cundiff’s affidavit “fails to fully and accurately depict myriad CC&R
26 violations,” they miss the point. What the video *does* fully and accurately depict is that
27 CSR is still a rural, residential subdivision. When the Coxes similarly state at page 15 of
28 the *MNT* that “Cundiff’s Affidavit stating that ‘The three (3) DVD’s attached to this
affidavit accurately depict the appearance of CSR’ is no different that [*sic*] taking a picture

1 of the Courthouse from Whiskey Row and stating that it accurately 'depicts' the
2 Courthouse," the answer is that such a photo *would* accurately depict the Courthouse if
3 what one were trying to prove was simply that the Courthouse had not been converted into
4 a Walmart.

5 In a similar vein, the Coxes complain at pages 9 and 10 of the *MNT* that "our
6 appellate court held that the Conlin Affidavit merely affirms that the Declaration ensures
7 both a rural and a residential environment" and that Judge Jones' "should not have adopted
8 Plaintiffs' narrow premise that Coyote Springs was limited to rural and residential property
9 and nothing more." Judge Jones did *not* adopt "Plaintiffs' narrow premise." He adopted
10 the Court of Appeals' interpretation of the Declaration as the law of the case. Long before
11 Plaintiffs had filed their *Motion for Summary Judgment*, the *Court of Appeals* had
12 emphasized that both they and the Coxes had relied on Conlin's affidavit in which he had
13 stated, "The recorded covenants and restrictions were intended to ensure that the Coyote
14 Springs Ranch subdivision would be a residential community. The nine-acre lots were
15 intended to ensure that the residential community would retain a rural setting." *Mem. Op.*
16 at 11. The *Court of Appeals* had further stated: "As confirmed in Conlin's affidavit, *the*
17 *Declaration ensures not only a rural setting, but a rural, residential environment.*" *Id.* at
18 12 (emphasis added).

19 What the Coxes describe as "Plaintiffs' narrow premise" was, in fact, the law of the
20 case as decided by the Court of Appeals several years before Judge Jones decided the
21 *Motion for Summary Judgment*. What the Coxes state that the Court of Appeals "merely
22 affirmed" – *i.e.*, that the overarching purpose of the Declaration is to preserve CSR as a
23 rural, residential subdivision – is, as Judge Jones recognized, actually the knife in the heart
24 of the Coxes' abandonment defense.

25 Just when one might have thought the Coxes' reasoning could not drift any further
26 into the ozone, they embark on an convoluted analysis of paragraph 2 of the Declaration
27 and weirdly announce at page 20 of the *MNT* that "Plaintiffs did not take any action at the
28 appellate court level to challenge the foregoing ruling from Division One. Rather, they let
it stand and therefore have waived any argument that Division One's interpretation of

1 Paragraph 2 is not the law of the case. Because the Conlin Affidavit contradicts the plain
2 and unambiguous language of the Declaration of Restrictions, the Declaration must be read
3 and applied according to its express terms.” Varilek has difficulty even following what the
4 Coxes are talking about. To state the obvious, Plaintiffs and Varilek *love* the Court of
5 Appeals’ interpretation of paragraph 2; it is why Plaintiffs *prevailed* in the Court of
6 Appeals! They *embrace* the Court of Appeals’ interpretation of the Declaration as the law
7 of the case. Moreover, developer Conlin’s affidavit does not *contradict* the Declaration in
8 regard to its purpose to preserve the rural, residential character of CSR; rather, as the Court
9 of Appeals expressly stated, Conlin’s affidavit *confirms* that this is the purpose of the
10 Declaration.

11 Preserving the rural, residential character of CSR is the overarching purpose of the
12 Declaration *as a whole*, which is the proper focus of a claim of abandonment – and which
13 is why, as Judge Jones recognized, that in order to avoid summary judgment the Coxes had
14 to make some credible showing, not merely that the Declaration had been violated 50 or
15 500 times, but that as of 2004 CSR had lost its fundamental character as a rural, residential
16 subdivision. This they failed to do.

17 **The Coxes continue to be confused about the fundamental difference**
18 **between waiver and abandonment**

19 One reason the Coxes persist with their violation-counting approach, when the real
20 question is whether the violations have caused CSR to lose its character as a rural,
21 residential subdivision, is that they are confused about the difference between *waiver* and
22 *abandonment*. A particular restriction is waived when it has been violated with impunity
23 *so many times* that it would be unfair to enforce it now. When a declaration contains a
24 non-waiver provision, as the Declaration of CSR does, a waiver defense is precluded and
25 the restriction will be enforced, regardless of how many prior violations have been
26 allowed, unless a complete abandonment of the declaration can be proved. For an
27 abandonment, the focus is on the declaration as a whole and whether pervasive violations
28 have transformed the development into something other than it was intended to be. The
distinction is discussed at length at pages 6-8 of *Varilek’s Reply*.

1 The Coxes' confusion is apparent on pages 16 and 17 of the *MNT*, where they
2 purport to find a "frequency of violation test" in *Burke v. Voicestream Wireless Corp. II*,
3 207 Ariz. 393, 87 P.3d 81 (App. 2004), and *College Book Centers, Inc., v. Carefree*
4 *Foothills Homeowners' Ass.n.*, 225 Ariz. 533, 241 P.3d 897 (App. 2010), two decisions on
5 which Varilek himself relied. *College Book Centers* does indeed have a section of the
6 opinion entitled "Frequency of Violations," but this in the context of *waiver*; concerning
7 abandonment, the court stated that the appellant "does not argue that the CC&Rs in
8 Carefree Foothills have been disregarded so thoroughly as to constitute complete
9 abandonment." 225 Ariz. at 539, 241 P.3d at 903. *College Book Centers* thus was not an
10 *abandonment case* at all, although the court did in passing accurately describe the standard
11 for abandonment as set forth in *Burke* and the other Arizona decisions cited by Varilek
12 (and this portion of the opinion is quoted in Judge Jones' ruling).

13 *Burke* likewise was solely a *waiver* case. The court stated that a non-waiver
14 provision in a declaration would be unenforceable only if a *complete abandonment* had
15 occurred. The court clearly *distinguished* between waiver and abandonment, as the Coxes
16 fail to do, and concluded, "No evidence was presented, however, that Desert Estates is no
17 longer a 'choice residential district.' The violations of section 4 described by Voicestream
18 and SWC have not *destroyed the fundamental character of the neighborhood.*" 207 Ariz.
19 at 399, 87 P.3d at 87 (emphasis added). Just as Desert Estates remained a choice
20 residential district, CSR remains a rural, residential subdivision.

21 The only new citation in this portion of the *MNT* is one from what the Coxes
22 describe on page 17 as "our sister jurisdiction" of Texas. What the courts of our beloved
23 sister think scarcely matters when Arizona has a body of case law as fully developed as
24 that concerning waiver and abandonment, but in any event *New Jerusalem Baptist Church,*
25 *Inc. v. City of Houston*, 598 S.W.2d 666 (Tex. App.1980), is yet another waiver case that
26 concerned the enforceability of one particular restriction. Although the court loosely used
27 the term "abandoned" a couple of places in the opinion, the nature of the case is clear from
28 this passage: "In order to establish the affirmative defense of waiver in a deed restriction
case, the non-conforming user must prove that the violations then existing are so great as

1 to lead the mind of the ‘average man’ to reasonably conclude that the restriction in
2 question has been abandoned and its enforcement waived.” 598 S.W.2d at 669. The
3 Texas court was not talking about a complete abandonment of the restrictions as a whole.

4 There is *no question* what proving an abandonment requires in Arizona. There is *no*
5 *question* that Judge Jones had the proper focus and analytical approach. There is *no*
6 *question* that the Coxes are either hopelessly confused or attempting to mislead the Court.

7 **Judge Jones’ ruling was not premature**

8 At page 3 of the *MNT*, the Coxes assert that Judge Jones’ ruling “was premature as
9 there has been no ruling on Defendants’ April 25, 2103, Motion to Dismiss, which is
10 premised upon Rule 19. Stated another way, once the Court [of Appeals] ordered on
11 remand the joinder of all of the Coyote Springs property owners not already named in the
12 litigation as indispensable parties, the Court was affirmatively obligated to ensure that
13 joinder was completed prior to rendering dispositive summary rulings.” (Actually, the
14 Court of Appeals merely directed the Court to determine whether the indispensable parties
15 were also necessary, but technicalities such as this seemingly do not concern the Coxes.)
16 This argument is further developed at pages 21-25 of the *MNT*.

17 The fact that the Coxes’ persist with this vacuous argument shows they are merely
18 regurgitating their unsuccessful response to the *Motion for Summary Judgment*. As the
19 Court of Appeals recognized, the joinder of all other property owners in CSR was
20 necessitated *solely* by the Coxes’ abandonment defense. If the defense had been
21 *successful*, it would have had subdivision-wide ramifications because an abandonment
22 would, by definition, render the entire Declaration unenforceable. Before the *Motion for*
23 *Summary Judgment* was granted, Varilek himself had raised concerns as to whether the
24 past efforts at joinder had comported with due process. But Varilek also pointed out that
25 the awarding of summary judgment *in favor of Plaintiffs* and *against the Coxes* on the
26 issue of abandonment would render any concerns about joinder *moot* because such a
27 decision would have no subdivision-wide ramifications. This issue is discussed at length
28

1 at pages 2-4 of *James Varilek's Motion to Require Defendants Cox to Serve the*
2 *Indispensable Parties with Documents Comporting with Due Process.*

3 **The 2005 denial of Plaintiffs' prior motion for summary judgment**
4 **did not become the "law of the case"**

5 Once again the Coxes regurgitate a vacuous argument from their unsuccessful
6 response to the *Motion for Summary Judgment*. At page 4 of the *MNT*, they state that
7 Judge Mackey's April 4, 2005 ruling in which he denied Plaintiffs' prior motion for
8 summary judgment on the issue of abandonment became the "law of the case" and
9 precluded Judge Jones from awarding summary judgment more than *eight years later*. The
10 ruling in 2005 decided nothing; Judge Mackey simply found the existence of a genuine
11 issue of material fact at that time. The Coxes *completely* misunderstand the law of the case
12 doctrine, and Varilek *thoroughly* demolished their argument by citation to ample authority
13 directly on point, including a number of Arizona decisions. See pages 1-4 of *Varilek's*
14 *Reply*.

15 The only new thing in this portion of the *MNT* is a citation to dicta in *Mozes v.*
16 *Daru*, 4 Ariz. App. 385, 420 P. 2d 957 (1966), where the court condemned what it called
17 "horizontal appeals," or "the practice of bringing the same motion before different superior
18 court judges in the hope of finding one who will rule in one's favor." (The *Mozes* court
19 decided it was *not* dealing with a horizontal appeal.) Varilek might suggest that this is
20 *precisely* what the Coxes are doing with their motion for reconsideration in the guise of a
21 motion for new trial. In any event, the *Mozes* dicta has never been applied in a reported
22 Arizona decision involving a second motion for summary judgment and has scarcely been
23 applied at all. See, e.g., *Shade v. United States Fid. & Guar. Co.*, 166 Ariz. 206, 210, 801
24 P.2d 441, 445 (App. 1990) (noting that the *Mozes* court itself had stated "that there is no
25 iron-clad rule that absolutely precludes renewal of a prior motion or making a subsequent
26 motion for the same relief, and that no purpose would be served by forcing a case to trial
27 once it clearly appears that summary judgment should be granted"); *Powell-Cerkoney v.*
28 *TCR-Montana Ranch Joint Venture II*, 176 Ariz. 275, 278-279, 860 P.2d 1328, 1331-1332

1 (App. 1993) (discussing both the law of the case doctrine and the horizontal appeals
2 doctrine and noting that the latter doctrine is a harsh one and that “courts must not afford
3 this procedural doctrine undue emphasis”).

4 The Coxes’ reliance on the *Mozes* dicta in the face of Varilek’s previous citation of
5 a wealth of authority directly on point serves only to underscore their desperation. Judge
6 Mackey’s 2005 ruling was not a substantive one, was made without the benefit of the
7 Court of Appeals’ interpretation of the Declaration and its overarching purpose to preserve
8 the rural, residential character of CSR, and was made several years before the decision
9 cited in Judge Jones’ ruling (*College Book Centers, Inc., v. Carefree Foothills*
10 *Homeowners’ Ass’n*) had come down.

11 **“Clear and convincing” is the standard for proving an**
12 **abandonment of subdivision restrictions**

13 At page 19 of the *MNT*, the Coxes assert that “contrary to Plaintiff’s [*sic*] Varilek’s
14 assertion, the standard for proving an affirmative defense of abandonment is not ‘clear and
15 convincing.’ The *Condos* opinion [*Condos v. Home Development Co., 77 Ariz. 129, 267*
16 *P.2d 1069 (1954)*] does not announce such a standard.”


17 Varilek did not cite *Condos* as supporting the clear and convincing standard for the
18 simple reason that the opinion does not address the evidentiary standard *at all*, but
19 technicalities such as this seemingly do not concern the Coxes. Varilek *did*, however, cite
20 a wealth of authority, ranging from *American Jurisprudence* to decisions from Arizona and
21 other jurisdictions. See *James Varilek’s Joinder in Plaintiffs’ Motion for Summary*
22 *Judgment* at 3. As quick examples, the Utah Supreme Court stated in *Swenson v.*
23 *Erickson*, 998 P.2d 807, 812 (Utah 2000), that an abandonment of restrictions must be
24 established by clear and convincing evidence; the Nevada Supreme Court stated in
25 *Tompkins v. Buttrum Const. Co. of Nevada*, 659 P.2d 865, 867 (Nev. 1983), that an
26 abandonment of restrictions must be established by clear and unequivocal evidence of acts
27 of a decisive nature; and the Maryland Court of Appeals likewise stated in *Lindner v.*
28 *Woytowitz*, 378 A.2d 212, 216 (Md. App. 1977), that an abandonment of restrictions must
be established by evidence clear and unequivocal of acts of a decisive nature.

1 Varilek respectfully urges the Court to carefully review Plaintiffs' *Motion for*
2 *Summary Judgment, James Varilek's Joinder in Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment,*
3 *James Varilek's Consolidated Reply to the Responses to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary*
4 *Judgment filed by Defendants Cox and Veres,* and Judge Jones' well-reasoned ruling of
5 June 14, 2013 and to deny the *MNT* for all the reasons set forth herein.

6 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED January 16, 2014.

7 FAVOUR & WILHELMSSEN, PLLC

8
9 By:



David K. Wilhelmsen

Lance B. Payette

Attorneys for Property Owner James Varilek

10
11
12
13
14
15 Original of the foregoing filed on
16 January 16, 2014 with:

17 Clerk of the Court
18 Yavapai County Superior Court
19 120 S. Cortez Street
20 Prescott, AZ 86303

21 Copy of the foregoing hand-
22 delivered on January 16, 2014 to:

23 Honorable David L. Mackey
24 Yavapai County Superior Court
25 120 S. Cortez Street
26 Prescott, AZ 86303

27 Copy of the foregoing mailed on
28 January 16, 2014 to:

Jeff Adams
THE ADAMS LAW FIRM PLLC
125 Grove Avenue
P.O. Box 2522
Prescott, AZ 86302
Attorney for the following named
Defendants:

1 Donald & Catherine Cox;
Leon H. & Noreen N. Vaughn;
2 Martha Lillian Caudill;
Sandra Godinez;
3 Curtis Kincheloe;
John L. & Gena D. Hatfield, Trustees of the Brit-
4 Char Trust UDT 7-10-07;
Cindi E. Lebash;
5 Roberta L. Baldwin;
James H. & Doris L. Strom;
6 Joy D. Basset;
James B. & Lorraine Darrin, Trustees of the Darrin
7 Family Trust UDT 12-14-98;
Tracy L. Greenlee;
8 Franklin B. & Laura L. Lamberson;
Rhonda L. Folsom;
9 Daniel & Louella Bauman;
Theresa E. Massardi;
10 James & Shirley Stephenson;
West R. & Catherine S. Rivers;
11 Lawrence K. & Heide J. McCarthy, Trustees of the
12 McCarthy Living Trust UDC 5-20-81;
Edward C. & Christine Woodworth;
13 Donald J. & Charlotte F. Klein, Trustees of the Klein
Family Trust;
14 Jeff & Mychel Westra;
Christine L. Bowra;
15 Charles R. Coakley, Trustee of the Charles Coakley
Trust UTD 6-10-91;
16 Else Clark, Trustee of the 2005 Else Clark Revocable
Trust UTD 10-27-05;
17 Wendy L. Changose;
Kari L. Dennis;
18 John P. & Karen R. Hough;
James Barstad;
19 Michael J. & Diane Glennon;
Michael D. White;
20 Steve M. & Deborah D. Wilson;
Ottis R. & Delores F. Clark;
21 Mark S. & Soma D. Williams, Trustees of the Mark
& Soma Williams Trust UTD 10-10-07;
22 Geoffrey M. McNabb & Kristen D. McNabb;
Grant L. & Pamela L. Griffiths;
23 Charles A. & Sherry S. Marx;
Kenneth R. & Elizabeth A. Yarbrough;
24 Gary Wanzek; and
Vincent J. & Dorothy M. Wanzek
25
26 J. Jeffrey Coughlin, PLLC
1570 Plaza West Drive
27 Prescott, AZ 86303
Attorney for Plaintiffs
28

1 Mark W. Drutz
Sharon Sargent-Flack
2 MUSGROVE DRUTZ & KACK, P.C.
1135 W. Iron Springs Road
3 P.O. Box 2720
Prescott, AZ 86302
4 Attorneys for Defendant Veres

5 Hans Clugston
HANS CLUGSTON, PLLC
6 1042 Willow Creek Road
Suite A101-PMB 502
7 Prescott, AZ 86301
Attorney for Defendants
8 Northern Arizona Fiduciaries, Inc.

9 Robert E. Schmitt
10 MURPHY, SCHMITT, HATHAWAY &
WILSON
11 117 East Gurley St.
Prescott, AZ 86301
12 Attorney for Robert H. Taylor & Terri A.
Thomson-Taylor

13 Noel J. Hebets
14 NOEL J. HEBETS, PLC
2515 N. 48th St., #3
15 Phoenix, AZ 85008
Attorney for Defendant
16 William M. Grace

17 William Fred and Theresa Hyder
11411 E. Sweetwater Ave.
18 Scottsdale, AZ 85259

19 Joyce Hattab Trust
3449 Lorilou Ln. #D
20 Las Vegas, NV 89121

21 Leon H. and Noreen Vaughan
9235 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
22 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

23 Gordon and Becki Nash
7901 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
24 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

25 Jimmy and Nancy Hoffman
P.O. Box 639
26 Dewey, AZ 86327

27 Rodney and Victoria Page
8920 E. Smittys Pl.
28 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

- 1 Deborah Ann and Richard A Davis
P.O. Box 4388
- 2 Prescott, AZ 86302

- 3 Bruce K and Teri A. Morgan
8520 E Lonesome Valley Road
- 4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

- 5 Deborah Ann Curtis
6070 Little Papoose Dr.
- 6 Prescott Valley AZ 86314

- 7 Jeffrey and Renita Donaldson
8 2175 N. Concord Dr. #A
Dewey, AZ 86327

- 9 Corea Family Trust
10 Nicholas and Patricia Corea
4 Denia
- 11 Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

- 12 Charles and Kelly Markley
8999 E. Pronghorn Ln.
- 13 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

- 14 Thomas and Nancy Tierney
7711 W. Michigan Ave.
- 15 Glendale, AZ 85308

- 16 Jerry L. Emerson
P.O. Box 27254
- 17 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

- 18 Mary Ferra
4930 Antelope Dr.
- 19 Prescott, AZ 86301

- 20 Kirk and Joy Smith
8650 E. Marrow Rd.
- 21 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

- 22 Jeffrey A. and Kimberly A. Sharp
8320 E. Plum Creek Way
- 23 Prescott valley, AZ 86315

- 24 Logan and Theresa Franks
8233 W. Country Gables Dr.
- 25 Peoria, AZ 85381

- 26 Humberto and Ana Pimentel
8419 E. Tracy Drive
- 27 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314
- 28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Jeffrey Carlson
1451 W. Irving Park Rd. #317
Itasca, IL 60143

Richard and Jessica Compsom
8805 E. Marrow Drive
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Stanley and Sharon Gonzales
8820 E. Slash Arrow Drive
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Bernard and Mary Milligan
29835 N. 56th Street
Cave Creek, AZ 85331

Autery Family Trust
8175 N. Coyote Springs Road
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Patrick and Vickie DiNieri
35807 N. 3rd Street
Phoenix, AZ 85086

George L. Gillan and Yuan-Ling Hong
8625 Mountain View Rd.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Jacob McAllister
8620 Slash Arrow Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Jack and Delores Richardson
505 Oppenheimer Drive #412
Los Alamos, NM 87544

Paul J. and Mary E. Temple
535 Metropolitan Avenue
Brooklyn, NY 11211

David Ungerer
13229 W. Doty Ave #4A
Hawthorne, CA 90250

Peter J. Trevillian
8600 Turtle Rock Rd.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

John and Deirdre Feldhaus
3331 E. Sundance Cir.
Prescott, AZ 86303

- 1 Bonnie Rosson
8950 E. Plum Creek Way
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 3 Marty and Sharon Mason
8945 E. Spurr Ln.
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 5 Evelyn M. Sadler Trust
10575 N. Coyote Springs Road
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 7 Ronald and Kellene Litchfield
8415 E. Marrow Road
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 9 Stanley D. Hall and Anne Womack-Hall
10 8450 Morning Star Ranch Road
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 11 Wayne L. and Bonnie L. Battram
12 8400 E. Morning Star Ranch Rd
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 13 Watkins Family Trust
14 7455 Coyote Springs Road
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 15 Loren James and Tracy Lee Peterson
16 P.O. Box 25977
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 17 Gunther Family Living Trust
18 Richard H. and Lois M. Gunther
1035 Scott Dr. #256
19 Prescott, AZ 86301
- 20 James and Vicki Biscay
7090 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
21 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 22 Central Baptist Church of Prescott
3298 N. Glassford Hill Rd. #104
23 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314
- 24 Robert Mancini
7425 N. Gueneviers Pl.
25 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 26 Robert Laquerre
27 Laquerre Family Living Trust
8594 E. Kelly Rd.
28 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

- 1 Daniel L. and Charlotte E. Sanders
P.O. Box 2542
- 2 Prescott, AZ 86302

- 3 Margaret Sue Pennington
Pennington MS Living Trust
- 4 5655 N. Camino Del Conde
- 5 Tucson, AZ 85718

- 6 Michael A. Kelley Family Trust
P.O. Box 26232
- 7 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

- 8 Kenneth Paloutzian
8200 Long Mesa Drive
- 9 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

- 10 Faith Inc.
7225 N.Coyote Springs Rd.
- 11 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

- 12 John D. and Sheila K. Fox
1520 Scenic Loop
- 13 Fairbanks, AK 99701

- 14 Rosario Carrillo
8989 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
- 15 Prescott Valley AZ 86315

- 16 Jose and Rosario Carrillo
8989 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
- 17 Prescott Valley AZ 86314

- 18 Michael and Judy Strong
4415 N. 9th Avenue
- 19 Phoenix, AZ 85013

- 20 Cong Van Tong and Phi Thi Nguyen
8775 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
- 21 Prescott Valley AZ 86315

- 22 Nadia Y. Clark
8595 E. Turtle Rock Rd #1116
- 23 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

- 24 James Wilson Holmes
8615 Windmill Acres Rd.
- 25 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

- 26 Thomas P. and Kimberly L. Marty
8610 E. Marrow Rd.
- 27 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315
- 28

1 Donald S. Benker and D. Lynn Wheeler-Benker
8700 E. Marrow Rd.
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

3 Amanda G. Deane
8250 E. Spurr Ln.
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

5 Jennifer Silva and Carl and Jeanette Samuelson
8490 E. Spurr Ln.
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

7 Neil B. Vince
8450 E. Spurr Ln.
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

9 Gary W. and Dianna R. Cordes
10 8370 E. Spurr Ln.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

11 Terry L. and Grace M. Jones
12 10492 E. Old Black Canyon Hwy.
Dewey, AZ 86327

13 Kevin Eden
14 8275 E. Turtle Rock Rd.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

15 Guaranty Mortgage Trust, L.L.C.
16 15240 N. 44th Pl.
Phoenix, AZ 85032

17 Dana E. and Sherrilyn G. Tapp
18 8595 E. Easy St.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

19 Craig C. and Bronte J. Casperson
20 8301 E. Spouse Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

21 Anthony and Angela Lawrence
22 8575 E. Far Away Pl.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

23 Richard A. and Patricia A. Pinney
24 43945 W. Kramer Ln.
25 Maricopa, AZ 85238

26 Leonara Cardella and Santo Fricano
12404 N. 33rd St.
27 Phoenix, AZ 85032

28

1 Daniel and Christine Turner
8959 E. Lonesome Valley Rd.
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

3 Larry Michael and Debra Ann Kirby
Kirby Family Trust
4 8801 Lonesome Valley Rd.
5 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

6 Christopher Lefebvre
8250 E. Sparrow Hawk Rd.
7 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

8 Karen L. Thompson
8100 E. Sparrow Hawk Rd.
9 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

10 Weldon Family Trust
P.O. Box 9208
11 Rancho Santa Fe, CA 92067

12 Sergio Martinez and Susana Navarro
10150 N. Lawrence Ln.
13 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

14 Bernard D. and Diana M. Anderson
7601 N. Gueneviers Pl.
15 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

16 William J. Lumme
7570 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
17 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

18 Santo and Rosa Fricano
5902 W. Cortez
19 Glendale, Arizona 85304

20 William E. Brumbill Trust
8910 Morrow Drive
21 Prescott Valley, Arizona 86314

22 Kevin Paul Sasse
9125 E. Dog Ranch Rd.
23 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

24 Jesus O. and Rosa M. Manjarrez
105 Paseo Sarta #C
25 Green Valley, AZ 85614

26 Rackley Family Living Trust
8565 Dog Ranch Road
27 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

28

1 Jayme Salazar
11826 Coyote Springs Road
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

3 Anglin Living Trust
11950 Coyote Springs Road
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

5 Renee Meeks
8975 N. Lawrence Lane
6 Prescott Valley, Arizona 86315

7 Ken and Fay Lawrence
8 P.O. Box 25905
Prescott Valley, Arizona 86312

9 Kenneth and Lois Fay Lawrence Trust
10 P.O. Box 25905
Prescott Valley Arizona 86312

11 Anthony and Patricia Sinclair
12 P.O. Box 25457
Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

13 Gary L. and Suzanne J. Spurr
14 8240 E. Spurr Ln.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

15 Joshua F. and Anita D. Ollinger
16 Ollinger Family Revocable Trust
14202 N. 68th Pl.
17 Scottsdale, AZ 85254

18 Lisa Soronow
Ginomai Living 2004 Trust
19 3530 Wilshire Blvd. #1600
20 Los Angeles, CA 90010

21 Fritz and Janet Doerstling Revocable Trust
8610 Mountain View Rd.
22 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

23 Ernest and Judy Rojas
Rojas Family Living Trust
24 8310 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
Prescott Valley, Arizona 86315

25 Anthony B. Lee
26 8496 Coyote Springs Rd.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

27 Thomas K. and Gwendolyn D. Anderson
28 8922 E. Windmill Acres
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

1 Nguyen Nghia Huu and Le Dung Ngoc
3616 W. Country Gables Dr.
2 Phoenix, AZ 85023

3 Donald G. and Deborah T. Southworth
7595 Coyote Springs Rd.
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

5 Janis Revocable Trust
7685 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

7 Christiene R. Andrews
16355 Orchard Bend Rd.
8 Poway, CA 92064

9 Valentino and Hildegard Muraca
10 Muraca Trust
10895 E. Manzenita Trl.
11 Dewey, AZ 86327

12 Dorothy T. Baker Revocable Trust
190 Wildwood Dr.
13 Prescott, AZ 86301

14 Francis M. Moyer
6 Meadow Green Ct.
15 Johnson City, TN 37601

16 James W. and Corrine A. Stueve
Stueve Living Trust
17 10025 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
18 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

19 Thanh Huu and Dung L. Nguyen
Nguyen Family Trust
12601 N. 29th Ave.
20 Phoenix, AZ 85029

21 William and Joanne Friend
Friend Family Trust
22 17661 Mariposa
23 Yorba Linda, CA 92886

24 Art and Debra G. Gustafson
9975 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
25 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

26 James R. and Barbara L. Bowman
P.O. Box 2959
27 Okeechobee, FL 34973

28

1 Hendrickson 2002 Family Trust
P.O. Box 13069
2 Prescott, AZ 86304

3 Howard P. Roberts
9936 Coyote Springs Rd.
4 Prescott, AZ 86315

5 Mainland Water Investments, L.L.C.
P.O. Box 2945
6 Prescott, AZ 86302

7 Paul and Amella Stegall
8275 E. Spurr Lane
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

9 Robert and Starr Ladehoff
7805 E. Pharlap Ln.
10 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

11 Opal L. Belland
Opal L. Belland Trust
10936 Caloden St.
13 Oakland, CA 94605

14 Kennard L. Easter
10350 N. Lawrence Ln.
15 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

16 Jerry and Leann Carver Family Trust
8940 E. Spurr Ln.
17 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

18 Justin Gardner and Kathy Welsh
10791 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
19 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

20 Terri A. Carver
P.O. Box 3499
21 Los Altos, CA 94024

22 Richard and Regina Recano
14090 E. Camino Pl.
23 Fontana, CA 92337

24 Robert Lee and Patti Ann Stack
Robert Lee and Patti Ann Stack Trust
10375 Lawrence Ln.
25 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

26 Kathy A. Ware and Patricia Pursell
Ware Family Living Trust
1525 S. Verde Dr.
28 Cottonwood, AZ 86326

1 Todd A. Swaim
8500 E. Turtle Rock Rd.
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

3 Richard and Darlene Mauler
9655 N. Coyote Springs Road
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

5 Jane L. Hesse
4729 N. Sauter Dr.
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

7 Terry Lee Pettigrew
6721 W. Villa St. #12
8 Phoenix, AZ 85043

9 Nancy A. Painter Family Trust
10 Nancy A. Painter
1022 N. Cloud Cliff Pass
11 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

12 James D. Borel MD LTD Restated PRFT Plan
P.O. Box 9870
13 Phoenix, AZ 85068

14 Masumi Gavinski
P.O. Box 27377
15 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

16 Jesus and Inez Valdez
Valdez Trust
17 2410 E. Whitton
18 Phoenix, AZ 85016

19 Wiley and Kathleen Williams
9575 E. Turtle Rock
20 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

21 Glenn and Gina Higa
9350 E. Mountain View Rd.
22 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

23 Gilstrap Family Trust
Ladonna J. Leppert
24 6361 Mann Ave.
Mira Loma, CA 91752

25 Richard and Beverly Strissel
9350 E. Slash Arrow Dr.
26 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

27 Michael and Julie Davis
9147 E. Morning Star Ranch Road
28 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

1 Edward R. and Anna E. Fleetwood Family Trust
4838 E. Calle Redonda
2 Phoenix, AZ 85018

3 John and Paula Warren
9180 E. Pronghorn Lane
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

5 1999 Winter Family Trust
10830 E. Oak Creek Trail
6 Cornville, AZ 86325

7 Steven and Becky Ducharme
9410 Slash Arrow
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

9 Charles and Billie Hutchison
10 5737 N. 40th Lane
Phoenix, AZ 85019

11 Gerald and Laurel Osher
12 9015 E. Mummy View Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

13 Wiechens Living Trust
14 2501 S. Avenue 44 E
Roll, AZ 85347

15 Grass Family Trust
16 1640 W. Acoma Drive
Phoenix, AZ 85023

17 Bolen Trust
18 9525 Mummy View Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

19 Linda J. Hahn Revocable Living Trust
20 10367 W. Mohawk Lane
Peoria, AZ 85382

21 William R. and Judith K. Stegeman Trust
22 9200 E. Far Away Place
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

23 Travis Clinton Black
24 9148 E. Mummy View Drive
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

25 Edward A. and Jane M. Toasperm
26 Brent E. and D A Schoeneck Trust
27 2526 E. Huntington Dr.
Tempe, AZ 85282

28

1 Plan B Holdings, L.L.C.
2 340 W. Willis St. #2
3 Prescott, AZ 86301

4 Bradley T. Copper
5 1401 E. Westcott
6 Phoenix, AZ 85024

7 Robert Taylor
8 10555 N. Orion Way
9 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

10 Robert and Heather Gardiner
11 9690 Plum Creek Way
12 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

13 Eric Cleveland Trust
14 9605 E. Disway
15 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

16 Donald D. Chase
17 3125 Duke Drive
18 Prescott, AZ 86301

19 Linda Annette Gravatt
20 9612 E. Mummy View Dr.
21 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

22 David and Michelle Krause Revocable Trust
23 3824 Topeka Dr.
24 Glendale, AZ 85308

25 Madelein C. Alston Trust
26 9270 E. Turtle Rock Road
27 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

28 Leo and Marilyn Murphy
9366 E. Turtle Rock Road
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Ross and Kara Rozendaal
9336 E. Turtle Rock Road
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

James and Kathryn McCormack
11780 N. Dusty Rd.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

Leslie J. Laird
11795 North Hawthorne Lane
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

28

1 Koller Family Revocable Trust
P.O. Bo 27191
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

3 Fannie Mae
14523 SW Millikan Way #200
4 Beaverton, OR 97005

5 1981 Bolin Trust
9525 E. Mummy View Drive
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

7 Mantione Family Living Trust
7761 E. Day Break Circle
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

9 Francis H. Jr. and Patricia A. Smith
10 11605 N. Hawthorne Lane
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

11 Robert and Gladys Tarr
12 11550 N. Dusty Road
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

13 Wayne and Jeanette Doerksen
14 10610 N. Wits End
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

15 Spurr Holding L.L.C.
16 14153 Grand Island Rd.
Walnut Grove, CA 95690

17 Jerry and Paulette Getz
18 P.O. Box 25567
Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

19 Gary W. Cordes
20 8370 E. Spurr Ln.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

21 Holly Lucero
22 aka Holly Denise Bowers
1426 S. Rita Lane
23 Tempe, AZ 85281

24 Harold and Diana Muckelroy
6650 E. Sunset Lane
25 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

26 HVS LLC
27 3287 E. Raven Ct.
Chandler, AZ 85286

28

1 John Mitchell and Troy Stoll
P.O. Box 249
2 Fort Bridger, WY 82933

3 Michael Zager and Susan Bette-Zager
9397 Mountain View Road
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

5 Karen Messenlehner
3650 N. Zircon Drive
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

7 Michael Furness
9990 E. Turtle Rock Road
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

9 Aaron and Kathleen Cormier
10 9860 E. Turtle Rock Road
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

11 Dennis J. Booth
12 9425 E. Mummy View Drive
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

13 William E. Probst
14 9440 E. Far Away Place
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

15 Kathryn M. Pyles
16 P.O. Box 56
Humboldt, AZ 86329

17 Timothy and Virginia Kilduff
18 9315 E. Spurr Lane
19 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

20 Kenneth and Sharon Petrone
3267 WW Avenue
21 Wellman, IA 52356

22 John D. Rutledge and Elaine Gordon
9425 E. Spurr Lane
23 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

24 Daniel C. Mussey
7777 E. Main St. #355
25 Scottsdale, AZ 85251

26 Michael and Lisa Faircloth
9100 E. Lonesome Valley Rd.
27 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

28

1 Michael and Julie Davis
9147 E. Morning Star Ranch Road
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

3 Ann and Noel Fidel
1010 W. Monte Vista Road
4 Phoenix, AZ 85007

5 Dick Living Trust
9955 E. Disway
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

7 Ronald J. Smith
9180 E. Spurr Ln.
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

9 Gary and Sabra Feddema
10 9601 E. Far Away Place
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

11 David L. and Lisa P. Bradley
12 9450 E. Spurr Ln.
Prescott Valley AZ 86315

13 David and Lori Rentschler Revocable Living Trust
14 9251 E. Far Away Place
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

15 Madelein C. Alston and Nicholas Faulstick
16 Madelein C. Alston Trust
9270 E. Turtle Rock Road
17 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

18 Angel and Lillian Aguilera
9220 E. Turtle Rock Road
19 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

20 Joyce E. Ridgway
4060 Salt Creek Road
21 Templeton, CA 93456

22 Robert L. Weaver and Diana K. Garcia
23 P.O. Box 25717
Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

24 James and Jennifer Woods
4554 N. Grafton Drive
25 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

26 George and Romala Heady
27 705 W. Happy Valley Road
Phoenix, AZ 85085

28

1 Warren Don Oster
3401 W. Mauna Loa Lane
2 Phoenix, AZ 85053

3 Todd and Barbara Bloomfield
9010 E. Plum Creek Way
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

5 Lauanders Family Trust
9295 E. Spurr Lane
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

7 Michaelis Family Trust
8 6930 Parsons Trail
Tujuga, CA 91042

9 Dave Slate
10 9910 E. Spurr Lane
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

11 Donn and Valerie Jahnke
12 9950 E. Spurr Lane
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

13 Patricia A. Henisse
14 9825 E. Mummy View Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

15 Regina A. Anglin
16 508 W. Villa Rita Dr.
Phoenix, AZ 85023

17 William and Shaunla Heckethorn
18 9715 E. Far Away Place
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

19 Rynda and Jimmy Hoffman
20 9650 E. Spurr Lane
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

21 John and Rebecca Feddema
22 9550 E. Spurr Lane
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

23 Daniel and Cynthia Warta
24 9125 E. Pronghorn Lane
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

25 Kenneth and Jacquelyn Kimsey
26 537 N. Hassayampa Drive
Prescott, AZ 86303

27
28

1 James R. Griset
444 Old Newport Blvd. #A
2 Newport Beach, CA 92663

3 Kathleen Marie Wargo
5801 Woodlawn Gable Dr. #D
4 Alexandria, VA 22309

5 Michael and Karen Wargo
9200 E. Spurr Lane
6 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

7 Arvid and Donna Severson
9920 E. Far Away Place
8 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

9 Leon F. Cardini
10 275 S. 4th Street
Camp Verde, AZ 86322

11 Nancy L. Reed and Kimberly Hodges
12 9825 E. Mummy View Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ, 86315

13 Debra A. Krakower
14 13941 E. Vista Verde Drive
Chandler, AZ 85249

15 Michael R. & Lynda K. Vyne
16 12864 N. 65th Pl.
Scottsdale, AZ 85254

17 James Leroy & Velia Lupe Wafflard
18 19711 W. Encanto Blvd.
Buckeye, AZ 85326

19 James A. & Linda D. Kirk
20 Family Trust
105 2nd St.
21 Buckeye, AZ 85326

22 Yavapai Title Co.
23 Dennis J. Huber Living Trust
721 W. Summit Pl.
24 Chandler, AZ 85225

25 John C. Kennedy
8577 E. Saddlehorn Trl.
26 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

27 James D. & Cheryl J. Nardo
11410 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
28 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

1 Carl G. Pisarik
8610 E. Mummy View Dr.
2 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

3 Kaaren L. Trone
8690 Mummy View Dr.
4 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

5 Furbee Family Trust
6 William W. & Linda Furbee
3019 Amity Rd.
7 Pearcy, AR 71964

8 Steven Lee Grahlmann
P.O. Box 25271
9 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

10 Carl Hendrickson Living Trust
11 Carl Hendrickson
1112 Woburn Green
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302

12 Elvera M. Barycki
13 2828 Monogram Ave.
Long Beach, CA 90815

14 Timothy L. Konkol
15 8685 E. Mummy View Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

16 Patrick & Ann Bresett
17 25313 W. Pueblo Ave.
Buckeye, AZ 85326

18 Todd D. Steven
19 8575 Mummy View Dr.
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

20 David J. & Susan M. Waters
21 9111 Alicia Dawn Dr.
22 Rogers, AR 72758

23 Howard and Elaine Boucher
P.O. Box 27845
24 Prescott Valley, AZ 86312

25 Roberta Hartmann
8555 E. Plum Creek Way
26 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

27 Timothy Jon Miller
10125 N. Orion Way
28 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

1 Paul M. Shifrin Trust
2 Paul M. Shifrin
3 2040 E. Camero Ave.
4 Las Vegas, NV 89123

5 Jose A. & Gloria G. Garza
6 9200 E. Lonesome Valley Rd.
7 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

8 Mark S. Phillips
9 8480 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
10 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

11 Scott & Audrey Hovelsrud
12 9085 E. Mountain View Rd.
13 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

14 Jesus & Beatriz Martinez
15 9150 E. Slash Arrow Dr.
16 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

17 Pauline Matheson Trust
18 Pauline Matheson
19 4755 E. Main St.
20 Mesa, AZ 85205

21 Christopher Mattson
22 7515 N. Coyote Springs Rd.
23 Prescott Valley, AZ 86315


24 Prescott Valley Growers, L.L.C.
25 6750 N. Viewpoint Dr.
26 Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

27 William H. "Bill" Jensen
28 2428 West Coronado Avenue
Flagstaff, AZ 86001

Lloyd E. and Melva J. Self
9250 E. Slash Arrow Drive
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

James C. and Leslie M. Richie
9800 Plum Creek Way
Prescott Valley, AZ 86315

John D. and Dusti Audsley
6459 E. Clifton Terrace
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

27
28 By 
David K. Wilhelmsen