

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Civil Petition for Review - Juvenile**

**CV-20-0165-PR**

**SATAVA O. v DCS/C.O./J.O./A.O./G.O.**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: 1-Jun-2020  
Case Closed:

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. SATAVA O., Appellant**

(Litigant Group) SATAVA O.

- Satava O., Natural Mother

**Attorneys for: Appellant**

Florence M Bruemmer, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19691)

**Side 2. DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SAFETY, C.O., J.O., A.O., G.O., Appellee**

(Litigant Group) DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SAFETY

- Department of Child Safety

**Attorneys for: Appellee**

Lauren J Lowe, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 24102)

(Litigant Group) C.O., J.O., A.O., G.O.

- C. O., Minor Child
- J. O., Minor Child
- A. O., Minor Child
- G. O., Minor Child

**Attorneys for: Appellee**

Patricia A O'Connor, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 11942)

**CASE STATUS**

Jun 1, 2020.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-JV 19-0407					
YAV P1300JD201900055	Dependency and Denying Mother's Motion to Reconsider Compulsory Immunization		Anna C Young, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

**3 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 1-Jun-2020 FILED: Petition for Review; Certificate of Service; Certificate of Compliance; Memorandum Decision (Appellant Satava O.)
- 2-Jun-2020 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 4-Jun-2020 The Clerk of the Supreme Court having been authorized by the Supreme Court to order any party to file a response to a petition for review at the direction of a Supreme Court staff attorney,  
  
IT IS ORDERED that no response to the petition for review is necessary. The Court will consider the petition for review in due course. (Janet Johnson, Clerk)