Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Petition for Review - Habeas Corpus

CV-16-0152-PR **ROBERT MARTIN v JAMES MACDONALD et al**

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 5-Jul-2016

Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. ROBERT E. MARTIN, Petitioner/Appellant

(Litigant Group) ROBERT E. MARTIN

- **Robert E Martin, Pro Se** PRO SE

Side 2. JAMES MACDONALD, WARDEN, AND CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA/LA PALMA CORRECTIONAL CENTER, Respondent/Appellee

(Litigant Group) JAMES MACDONALD, WARDEN, AND CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF AMERICA/LA PALMA CORRECTIONAL CENTER

- **James MacDonald**
- **Corrections Corporation of America**
- **La Palma Correctional Center**

Attorneys for: Respondents/Appellees

Rachel Love, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19881)

Kevin Nguyen, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 27870)

CASE STATUS

Jul 5, 2016.....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)		Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-HC 15-0002					
PIN	CV201500891			Gilberto V Figueroa, Trial Comments: (none)		

11 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1.	5-Jul-2016	FILED: Appellant's Notice of Appeal to the Arizona Supreme Court Under Duress of Obstruction to Court Process (Appellant Martin, Pro Se)
2.	6-Jul-2016	FILED: Record from CofA: Link to Electronic Record
3.	6-Jul-2016	Letter to Appellant Martin, Pro Se Re: ASC-Consent for E-Dist
4.	6-Jul-2016	FILED: (Duplicate) Appellant's Notice of Appeal to the Arizona Supreme Court Under Duress of Obstruction to Court Process (Appellant Martin, Pro Se) (Rec'd from CofA 2 on 07/11/16)
5.	8-Aug-2016	FILED: Ex Parte Motion Order for Return to Jurisdiction, or Alternative Assignment of Counsel, 18 USC 2520 (Appellant Martin, Pro Se)
6.	8-Aug-2016	FILED: Declined Consent for E-Dist (Appellant Martin, Pro Se)
7.	9-Aug-2016	An "Ex Parte Motion Order for Return to Jurisdiction, or Alternative Assignment of Counsel, 18 USC 2520" (Appellant Martin, Pro Se) having been filed on August 8, 2016. Upon consideration, IT IS ORDERED denying the "Ex Parte Motion Order for Return to Jurisdiction, or Alternative Assignment of Counsel, 18 USC 2520." (Hon. Clint Bolick)
8.	2-Sep-2016	FILED: Notice (Treated as a Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review) (Appellant Martin, Pro Se)
9.	7-Sep-2016	A "Notice (Treated as a Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review)" (Appellant Martin, Pro Se) having been filed on September 2, 2016, IT IS ORDERED granting a first extension of time to file the Petition for Review on or before October 7, 2016. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the Petition for Review is not filed by October 7, 2016. (Hon. Robert M. Brutinel)
10.	26-Sep-2016	FILED: Petition for Review and Evidentiary Hearing; Proof of Service by Mail by Institutionalized Person (Appellant Martin, Pro Se
11.	26-Sep-2016	FILED: Notice of Petition for Review (Appellant Martin, Pro Se) (Rec'd from CofA on 9/29/16)

Information presented in this document may not reflect all case activity and is subject to change without notice.