

**Arizona Supreme Court**  
**Criminal Petition for Review - Special Action**

**CR-16-0339-PR**

**ETHAN GIPSON v HON. GRANVILLE/STATE**

**Appellate Case Information**

Case Filed: **1-Sep-2016** Archive on: 23-Jan-2018 (planned)  
 Case Closed: **23-Jan-2017**

**Dept/Composition**

**Side 1. ETHAN GIPSON, Petitioner**

(Litigant Group) ETHAN GIPSON

- Ethan Dane Gipson, Pro Se PRO SE

**Side 2. THE HONORABLE WARREN GRANVILLE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa, Respondent Judge**

(Litigant Group) THE HONORABLE WARREN GRANVILLE, JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for the County of Maricopa

- Hon. Warren J Granville

**Side 3. STATE OF ARIZONA, Real Party in Interest**

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

**Attorneys for: Real Party in Interest**  
 Diane Meloche, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 18197)

**CASE STATUS**

Jan 23, 2017....**Case Closed**

Jan 23, 2017....**Decision Rendered**

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-SA 16-0181					
↳ MAR CR2013-459705-001			Warren J Granville, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

**CASE DECISION**

**23-Jan-2017 ORDER**

ORDERED: Petition for Review (Oral Argument Requested) (Expedited (sic) Ruling Requested) = DENIED.

Filed: **23-Jan-2017** Mandate:

A panel composed of Justice Brutinel, Justice Timmer, Justice Bolick and Justice Lopez participated in the determination of this matter.

Decision Disposition
<b>Denied</b>

**5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES**

- 1-Sep-2016 FILED: Petition for Review (Oral Argument Requested) (Expedited Ruling Requested); Exhibits; Appendix (Petitioner Gipson, Pro Se)
- 6-Sep-2016 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 29-Dec-2016 RECEIVED: Letter from Petitioner Gipson re: Case Status
- 30-Dec-2016 SENT: Letter to Petitioner Gipson re Case Status
- 23-Jan-2017 ORDERED: Petition for Review (Oral Argument Requested) (Expedited (sic) Ruling Requested) = DENIED.  
 A panel composed of Justice Brutinel, Justice Timmer, Justice Bolick and Justice Lopez participated in the determination of this matter.  
 -----