

Arizona Supreme Court
Criminal Petition for Review - Appeal

CR-16-0294-PR

STATE OF ARIZONA v ABRAHAM ESTRADA FLORES

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: **1-Aug-2016** Archive on: 23-Jan-2018 (planned)
 Case Closed: **23-Jan-2017**

Dept/Composition

Side 1. STATE OF ARIZONA, Appellee
 (Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Appellee
 John R. Lopez, IV, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19182)
 Joseph T Maziarz, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 9212)

Side 2. ABRAHAM ESTRADA FLORES, Appellant
 (Litigant Group) ABRAHAM ESTRADA FLORES

- Abraham Estrada Flores, Pro Se

PRO SE **Attorneys for: Appellant**

CASE STATUS

Jan 23, 2017....Case Closed

Jan 23, 2017....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-CR 15-0674					
YUM	S1400CR201500114 Aggravated Assault, Class 3	5 Years	Maria Elena Cruz, Sentencing Comments: (none)	JURY	

CASE DECISION

23-Jan-2017 ORDER

ORDERED: The Appellant's Petition for Review = DENIED.

Filed: **23-Jan-2017**

Mandate:

A panel composed of Vice Chief Justice Pelander, Justice Brutinel, Justice Timmer, and Justice Bolick participated in the determination of this matter.

Decision Disposition
Denied

5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 1-Aug-2016 FILED: Request for Extension of Time for Appellant to File Petition for Review in Pro Se; Certificate of Service (Appellant Flores)
- 2-Aug-2016 A "Request for Extension of Time for Appellant to File Petition for Review in Pro Se" (Appellant Flores) having been filed on August 1, 2016,

IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the Petition for Review on or before September 12, 2016. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the Petition for Review is not filed by September 12, 2016. (Janet Johnson, Clerk)
- 15-Sep-2016 FILED: The Appellant's Petition for Review (Appellant Flores, Pro Se)
- 19-Sep-2016 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 23-Jan-2017 ORDERED: The Appellant's Petition for Review = DENIED.

A panel composed of Vice Chief Justice Pelander, Justice Brutinel, Justice Timmer, and Justice Bolick participated in the determination of this matter.