

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-16-0205-PR

WILLIAM ROUSH v A. NEAL GREGORY M.D. et al

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 17-Aug-2016
Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. WILLIAM F. ROUSH, a single man, Plaintiff/Appellant
(Litigant Group) WILLIAM F. ROUSH, a single man

- William Francis Roush, Pro Se PRO SE

Side 2. A. NEAL GREGORY, M.D., MPH and JOE DOE GREGORY, husband and wife; BRENT D. SLOTEN, D.O. AND JANE DOE SLOTEN, husband and wife; ALLURE DERMATOLOGY, Defendant/Appellee

(Litigant Group) A. NEAL GREGORY, M.D., MPH and JOE DOE GREGORY, husband and wife; BRENT D. SLOTEN, D.O. AND JANE DOE SLOTEN, husband and wife; ALLURE DERMATOLOGY

- A Neal Gregory
- Joe Doe Gregory
- Brent D Sloten
- Jane Doe Sloten
- Allure Dermatology

Attorneys for: Defendants/Appellees

James Arthur Eaves, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 19748)
Robin E Burgess, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 15330)

CASE STATUS

Aug 17, 2016...Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-CV 14-0691					
↳ MAR CV2013-012317	Unclassified Civil		David O Cunanan, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

20 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 17-Aug-2016 FILED: Motion for Enlargement of Time to File "Petition for Review" (Appellant Roush, Pro Se) (Rec'd from CofA on 8/19/16)
- 24-Aug-2016 A "Motion for Enlargement of Time to File 'Petition for Review'" having been filed on August 17, 2016,

IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the Petition for Review on or before September 12, 2016. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the Petition for Review is not filed by September 12, 2016. (Janet Johnson, Clerk)
- 14-Sep-2016 FILED: (Second) Further Motions/Englargement of Time to File Petition for Review, Extraordinary Circumstances Infact Exist (Appellant Roush, Pro Se)
- 15-Sep-2016 A "Further Motions/Englargement of Time to File Petition for Review, Extraordinary Circumstances Infact Exist" (Appellant Roush, Pro Se) having been filed on September 14, 2016,

IT IS ORDERED granting a second extension of time to file the Petition for Review on or before October 12, 2016. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the Petition for Review is not filed by October 12, 2016. (Janet Johnson, Clerk)
- 14-Oct-2016 FILED: Motion Fraud on the Court/Motion to Stay (PFR) Proceedings; . . .Motion to Assign Special Prosecutor (Appellant Roush, Pro Se)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-16-0205-PR

WILLIAM ROUSH v A. NEAL GREGORY M.D. et al

20 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

6. 17-Oct-2016 The Court has received and considered Appellant Roush's "Motion Fraud on the Court/Motion to Stay (PFR) Proceedings; . . . Motion to Assign Special Prosecutor." To the extent that the Motion requests an extension of time to file Mr. Roush's petition for review.
- IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the Petition for Review on or before November 15, 2016. No further extensions will be granted.
- Petitioner also alleges the superior court redacted his counsel's opening statement and requests that this Court obtain a recording for him from the superior court.
- IT IS ORDERED DENYING that request. (Hon. John Pelander)
7. 17-Oct-2016 FILED: (Duplicate) Motion Fraud on the Court/Motion to Stay (PFR) Proceedings; . . . Motion to Assign Special Prosecutor (Appellant Roush, Pro Se)
8. 9-Nov-2016 FILED: Motions Rocket Docket/Motion to Expedite Order/Motion for an Orders by the Court (Appellant Roush, Pro Se)
9. 10-Nov-2016 Appellant Roush has filed a "Motions Rocket Docket/Motion to Expedite Order/Motion for an Orders by the Court" on November 9, 2016. To the extent that Appellant Roush again alleges the superior court redacted his counsel's opening statement and requests that this Court obtain a recording for him from the superior court,
- IT IS ORDERED DENYING that request, as this Court did in its order of October 17, 2016. (Hon. Ann A. Scott Timmer)
10. 17-Nov-2016 FILED: Appellant's Petition for Review; Certificate of Filing; Certificate of Compliance (Appellant Roush, Pro Se)
11. 17-Nov-2016 FILED: Motion for Page Enlargement (Appellant Roush, Pro Se)
12. 17-Nov-2016 FILED: Motion to Enter Exhibits 1 thru 3 to Petition for Review (Appellant Roush, Pro Se)
13. 21-Nov-2016 A "Motion for Page Enlargement" and "Motion to Enter Exhibits 1 thru 3 to Petition for Review" (Appellant Roush, Pro Se) having been filed on November 17, 2016. Upon consideration,
- IT IS ORDERED granting the "Motion for Page Enlargement."
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying the "Motion to Enter Exhibits 1 thru 3 to Petition for Review" as moot. Rule 23(e), Arizona Rules of Civil Appellant Procedure provides that a party may file an Appendix and Rule 23(d) requires a copy of the Court of Appeals decision to accompany the petition. (Janet Johnson, Clerk)
14. 23-Nov-2016 FILED: Notice of Appearance and Request for Extension (Attorneys J. Arthur Eaves and Robin E. Burgess are Appearing as Counsel); Certificate of Service (Respondents A. Neal Greagory M.D. et al)
15. 23-Nov-2016 A "Notice of Appearance and Request for Extension" (Appellees A. Neal Gregory, M.D. et al) having been filed on November 23, 2016,
- IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the Response to Petition for Review on or before January 20, 2017. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. (Janet Johnson, Clerk)
16. 10-Jan-2017 An "Appellants Petition for Review" (Appellant Roush, Pro Se) was filed with the Supreme Court on November 17, 2016, and the case has been assigned the above number.
- The Appellant, an inmate involved in a civil proceeding, is required to pay actual court costs in the manner set forth in A.R.S. Section 12-302(E) (copy attached). The filing fee for this Petition for Review is \$280.00, as set forth in A.R.S. Section 12-119.01.
- By means of this order, a first time payment of \$56.00 (twenty percent) is assessed, the balance to be paid in accordance with A.R.S. Section 12-302(E). (Janet Johnson, Clerk)
17. 10-Jan-2017 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
18. 20-Jan-2017 FILED: Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service Regarding Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Compliance Regarding Response to Petition for Review (Appellees Gregory et al)
19. 20-Jan-2017 FILED: Appendix - Response to Petition for Review; Certificate of Service Regarding Appendix in Support of Response to Petition for Review (Appellees Gregory et al)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-16-0205-PR

WILLIAM ROUSH v A. NEAL GREGORY M.D. et al

20 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

20. 26-Jan-2017 RECEIPT No.: ASC17-00096 ; \$140.00, Authorization: 23020413716, Applied to: A. NEAL GREGORY, M.D., MPH and JOE DOE GREGORY, hu - Class B Filing Fee (\$140.00) Paid for: A. NEAL GREGORY, M.D., MPH and JOE DOE GREGORY, husband and wife; BRENT D. SLOTEN, D.O. AND JANE DOE SLOTEN, husband and wife; ALLURE DERMATOLOGY - By Intresys Inc (Memo: Form Set # 2066152)